



Received: 15 May, 2020
Accepted: 18 December 2020
Published: 19 December, 2020

***Corresponding author:** Biadge Kefale, Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holeta Research Center, Food Science and Nutrition Research Program, Ethiopia, E-mail: biadgekefale@yahoo.com

Keywords: Nutritional value; Blend; Injera quality

<https://www.peertechzpublications.com>



Research Article

Evaluation of *Injera* prepared from composite flour of Teff and Barley variety

Biadge Kefale*

Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holeta Research Center, Food Science and Nutrition Research Program, Ethiopia

Abstract

Ethiopians are dependant on teff flour to make injera as staple food in Ethiopia ,although injera could be made from different cereals. The price of teff is high and the yield is low. Thus finding alternative cheaper grain and developing blend teff improved variety and barley improved variety in different ratio with acceptable and improved nutritional value would be important . this study was conducted to evaluate the nutritional value and sensory quality of injera made from a blend of teff and barley with different ratio with 10 % interval 100,90,80,70,60,50 % the nutritional value was determined using official methods and the sensory evaluation of injera was conducted at Holeta research center food science and nutrition food product development in duplicate. The result reveled that among the treatments the micro nutrients Fe and calcium were improved and the sensory quality such as taste,color and texture were good.from the study result injera quality ranked Treatment 1 up Treatment 5 could be used as an alternative option for injera utilization and provide nutritional benefit to consumers.

Introduction

Injera is thin, fermented Ethiopian traditional bread made from flour, water and starter (*ersho*), which is a fluid, saved from previously fermented dough. Teff (*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc) Trotter) is the most popular grain for making *injera*, although other grains such as sorghum, maize, barley, wheat and finger millet are sometimes used [1]. Teff grain flour is widely used in Ethiopia for making *injera* (staples for the majority of Ethiopians, a fermented, pancake-like, soft, sour, circular flatbread), sweet unleavened bread, local spirit, porridges and soups [2]. There is a growing interest on teff grain utilizations because of nutritional merits (whole grain), the protein is essentially free of gluten the type found in wheat (alternative food for consumers allergic to wheat gluteins) [3]. The grain proteins are also presumed easily digestible because prolamins are very small [4]. Teff grain micronutrient is also apparently high. Particularly in iron, a result of agronomic practices used in Ethiopia and fermentation on *injera* making [5].

Because of this, the prevalence of iron deficient anemia among teff *injera* consumers in Ethiopia is low. *injera* making and keeping quality features, teff grain appeared superior among other cereal grains. Injera is widely used food in Ethiopia. Improving the nutrient composition help people from

nutrient security as well as minimize cost by mixing teff in to other grain flour such as Maize, barley and wheat. Low cost and nutrient dense food formulation is limited in the country. Therefore this study were conducted to solve food and nutrition security problem .In this case incorporating the Barley flour with Teff flour can produce low cost and nutrient dense injera in order to influence food and nutrition security positively.

Material and methods

Plant materials

The plant material was collected from the teff breeding and barley breeding program from Holeta research center. Both the plant materials were the new variety (the improved variety). Experiments were carried out in the Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research Food science and Nutrition Laboratory during the study period.

Preparation of the blends and preparation of injera

The teff injera samples and mixed Injera sample were prepared in the laboratory the same way as done traditionally in every household (Table 1). Accordingly, teff flour was mixed with clean water and was knead by hand in a bowl in the traditional way. The resultant dough were allowed to

**Table 1:** Formulation of *Injera* from teff (Kuncho variety) and barley (EH-1807).

Treatment	Teff flour (gram)	Barley flour (gram)
T1	100	0
T2	90	10
T3	80	20
T4	70	30
T5	60	40
T6	50	50
T7	100	0

ferment for 3 days at ambient temperature. After this primary fermentation, the surface water formed on the top of the dough were discarded. For every 1kg of original flour, 200ml of the fermented mixture were mixed and with 400 ml of water and brought to boil (traditionally known as 'absit' making). Then *Injera* were prepared.

Nutrient analysis

The Association of Official Analytical Chemist (AOAC) [6] procedure was used to determine the nutrient compositions (crude protein, moisture content, crude fat, total ash, dry matter) of the Teff, barley flour and *Injera* samples made from the blends of the above flours. For mineral determination, wet digestion of the all samples was carried out according to the method of (Jones, et al.) Calcium, zinc and iron was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer while, potassium was measured through flame photometer.

Sensory evaluation of injera

The *Injera* samples were coded and randomly presented to the 16 panelists. In sensory evaluation a five point hedonic scale (1= dislike very much, 2= dislike, 3= neither like nor dislike, 4= like, 5= like very much) were used. The samples in terms of color, eye evenness, appearance, flavor, taste, texture and overall acceptability.

Statistical analysis

The quality characteristics of flours, as well as the baking test results of products was analyzed by one-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) using statistical tools of SPSS version 22 Tables 2-5, Figure 1.

Result and Discussion

According to the functional property and Nutrient content of Teff flour and Barley flour the water absorption of barley flour is better compared to teff flour while the protein content and fat content of teff flour is higher compared to barley flour. The nutrient content and sensory result for the treatments (products) for protein content and ash content there were significantly different at ($p < 0.05$). Teff flour were better in calcium, iron, zinc and protein content than barley flour. The measured values were higher than those measured in staple cereal crops such as rice, wheat, maize and sorghum [7]. Were as in the blended form iron and calcium content of the product

(*Injera*) were improved. As a result the micronutrients in the product can be improved in the blended form of Teff and Barley *Injera* by considering the economic benefit (low cost crop Barley) and high cost crop (teff).

The sensory quality evaluation result has demonstrated that *injera* made from teff had an excellent preference score compared to *injera* made from barley (HB-1307). In this study the sensory result shows T2, T5 and T6 is preferable sensory result compared to the reference (standard) T1. From all the Treatments (T2, T5, T6) is preferred formulation for accepted products for *Injera*. *Injera* made from different ratio used as a substitute to teff with reasonably fair cost and better nutritional value. while in rural areas farmers have experience in consuming *injera* made from barley flour alone as well as blending with teff. Zegeye [8] also reported significant difference in acceptability of *injera* made from teff, sorghum, barley and maize cultivars. Study on sensory quality evaluation of *injera* made from different barley variety abraha, et al. [9] reported that a very good quality *injera* comparable to teff was produced from improved barley variety [10,11].

Table 2: Ingredients for *Injera* formulation.

Ingredients	Quantity in grams
Water	100ml
Yeast (from a fermented mixture)	40ml
Water	112.25ml
<i>Absit</i>	150ml

Table 3: Functional property and nutrient content of teff flour and barley flour.

Flour	Water absorption	Moisture content	Protein content	Fat
Teff (kuncho)	3.00±0.00	29.37±0.00	13.12±1.68	3.85±0.00
Barley (EH-1807)	3.25±0.00	29.16±0.34	9.80±0.29	1.65±0.00

Table 4: Nutrient content of formulated *Injera*.

Treatment	Moisture content	Ash	Protein content	Fat
T1	6.75±0.75	2.00±0.00 ^b	15.09±0.56 ^a	2.50±0.10
T2	5.25±0.25	1.50±0.00 ^b	13.44±0.16 ^b	2.25±0.15
T3	5.50±0.00	2.00±0.00 ^b	14.1±0.39 ^{ab}	2.35±0.15
T4	5.50±0.50	1.75±0.25 ^b	14.50±0.18 ^{ab}	2.40±0.10
T5	5.75±0.25	1.75±0.25 ^b	14.24±0.63 ^{ab}	2.70±0.10
T6	5.25±0.25	1.75±0.25 ^b	13.86±0.15 ^{ab}	2.75±0.05
T7	5.50±0.00	2.75±0.25 ^a	11.84±0.14 ^c	2.40±0.10

Table 5: Sensory data using five point hedonic scales.

Treatment	Taste	color	Texture	Eye distribution	Over all
T1	4.00±0.37 ^a	4.06±0.31 ^{ab}	3.62±0.37 ^a	3.93±0.43 ^a	3.81±0.31 ^a
T2	3.25±0.12 ^{bc}	3.62±0.00 ^{abc}	3.31±0.18 ^{ab}	3.18±0.06 ^c	3.50±0.12 ^{ab}
T3	3.25±0.25 ^{bc}	3.25±0.12 ^{cd}	2.87±0.12 ^{bc}	3.25±0.12 ^{bc}	2.93±0.06 ^b
T4	2.68±0.18 ^c	2.75±0.12 ^d	2.50±0.12 ^c	1.75±0.00 ^d	2.12±0.00 ^d
T5	3.75±0.00 ^{ab}	3.75±0.12 ^{abc}	3.31±0.18 ^{ab}	2.87±0.12 ^c	3.37±0.12 ^{ab}
T6	3.50±0.12 ^{ab}	3.50±0.00 ^{bc}	3.43±0.18 ^{ab}	3.43±0.18 ^{abc}	3.50±0.37 ^{ab}
T7	4.12±0.12 ^a	4.18±0.18 ^a	3.75±0.12 ^a	3.87±0.00 ^{ab}	4.00±0.12 ^a




Figure 1: Mineral content of Formulated *Injera*.

Conclusion

From the current study the very good sensory result and nutrient content were observed for the treatments T2, T5, T6 implies that the ratio of blending could be used as substitute to the teff flour both in the urban towns and in the rural communities. More over consumers benefited from the use of injera made from this different ratio blend of teff and barley flour due to their enhanced nutritional content as well as the economic advantage due to lower prices of barley compared to teff.

References

- Ashagrie Z, Abate D (2012) Improvement of Injera shelf life through the use of chemical preservatives. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development 12. [Link: http://bit.ly/3amEJMX](http://bit.ly/3amEJMX)
- Ebba T (1969) Tef (Eragrostistef): The cultivation, usage and some of the known diseases and insect pests. Part I. DebreZeit Agricultural Experiment Station. Addis Ababa University, College of Agriculture: Dire Dawa, Ethiopia.
- Dekking LS, Winkelaar YK, Koning F (2005) The Ethiopian cereal tef in celiac disease. N Engl J Med 353: 1748-1749. [Link: http://bit.ly/34owrQE](http://bit.ly/34owrQE)
- NRC (National Research Council) of the USA (1996) Lost crops of Africa. Volume 1: Grains. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C 215-234. [Link: http://bit.ly/34m2D7a](http://bit.ly/34m2D7a)
- Urga K, Fite A, Biratu E (1997) Effect of natural fermentation on nutritional and antinutritional factors of tef (Eragrostisef). Ethiop J Health Devel 11: 61-66. [Link: https://bit.ly/2KvZ33r](https://bit.ly/2KvZ33r)
- AOAC (1996) Official Methods of Analysis, 16th Ed., Ass. Off. Anal. Chem, Gaithersburg, MD.
- Moreno ML, Cominol, Sousa C (2014) Alternative grains as potential raw material for gluten free food development in the diet of celiac and gluten sensitive patients. Austin J Nutri Food Sci 2: 1016. [Link: http://bit.ly/3rik6Yi](http://bit.ly/3rik6Yi)
- Zegeye A (1997) Acceptability of Injera with Stewed Chicken. Food quality and Preference 8: 293-295. [Link: http://bit.ly/3an1vUP](http://bit.ly/3an1vUP)
- Abraha A, Uhlen AK, Abay F, Stefan S, Åsmund B (2013) Genetic Variation in Barley Enables a High Quality Injera, the Ethiopian Staple Flat Bread, Comparable to Tef. Crop Science 53: 1-11. [Link: https://bit.ly/2KEkgrP](https://bit.ly/2KEkgrP)
- Edney M (1996) Barley. In : Henry R J, Kettlewell P S (eds.) Cereal Grain Quality Chapman & Hall, the University Press, Cambridge, 113-146.
- Newman RK, Lewis SE, Newman CW, Boik RJ, Ramag RT (1989) Hypocholesterolemic effect of barley foods on healthy men. 39: 749-760. [Link: http://bit.ly/2Wtzp25](http://bit.ly/2Wtzp25)

Discover a bigger Impact and Visibility of your article publication with Peertechz Publications

Highlights

- ❖ Signatory publisher of ORCID
- ❖ Signatory Publisher of DORA (San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment)
- ❖ Articles archived in worlds' renowned service providers such as Portico, CNKI, AGRIS, TDNet, Base (Bielefeld University Library), CrossRef, Scilit, J-Gate etc.
- ❖ Journals indexed in ICMJE, SHERPA/ROMEO, Google Scholar etc.
- ❖ OAI-PMH (Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting)
- ❖ Dedicated Editorial Board for every journal
- ❖ Accurate and rapid peer-review process
- ❖ Increased citations of published articles through promotions
- ❖ Reduced timeline for article publication

Submit your articles and experience a new surge in publication services (<https://www.peertechz.com/submit>).

Peertechz journals wishes everlasting success in your every endeavours.

Copyright: © 2020 Kefale B. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.