Since Scipiano Riva-Rocci [1] created the device to measure Blood Pressure (BP), more than a century has passed with gains in knowledge of this way of assessing BP.

Currently, the methods for assessing blood pressure in the 24 hours using devices capable of making these records are well established [2].

Hypertension is frequently diagnosed using office Blood Pressure (BP) measurements. However, recent guidelines strongly recommend out-of-office BP monitoring (including Ambulatory BP Monitoring (ABPM)) for the diagnosis and management of Hypertension [3-6].

Due to limitations in office measures, since the 1960s, six decades after the creation of the first device capable of measuring during the daily activities of patients (Figure 1), identified as ABPM, this form of blood pressure recording has been gaining prominence and proving to be able to represent the real behavior of blood pressure assessed for a period of 24 hours.

With the natural evolution of technology, ABPM equipment became lighter, lower-noise and more comfortable.

The limitations to its use, due to possible discomfort during its performance, frequently referred to in the literature, were not confirmed in a study conducted by Nobre, et al. [7] (Figure 2).

With the advent of ABPM, it was possible to identify phenotypes previously unknown, such as: white coat hypertension and masked hypertension [8].

Based on the blood pressure record for 24 hours, outside the office, today we can consider the classification of blood pressure behavior as shown in Figure 3.

Thus, what in the past was only the classification of individuals, based on office measures, normotensive and hypertensive, we now have two other situations where the behavior of blood pressure is systematically changed (≥140×90mm Hg) in the office whereas in the mean of the 24-hour period by ABPM the values are normal (<130×80mm Hg) and another group characterized by always normal behavior in office measurements (<140×90mm Hg) and altered in ABPM (≥130×80mm Hg).

Sustained hypertension, normotension, white coat hypertension and masked hypertension: Definitions and prevalences

Sustained hypertension was a conventional blood pressure...
of 140mm Hg systolic or 90mm Hg diastolic or more. Ambulatory hypertension was a 24-hour level of 130mm Hg systolic or 80mm Hg diastolic or more; for the daytime blood pressure these thresholds were 135 and 85mm Hg and for the nighttime blood pressure 120 and 70mm Hg, respectively [9]. Normotension and sustained hypertension were consistently normal or elevated levels on both conventional and ambulatory blood pressures. White-coat hypertension was defined as conventional hypertension in the presence of a normal ambulatory blood pressure. Masked hypertension was defined as ambulatory hypertension in participants with a normal conventional blood pressure. When systolic or diastolic blood pressure was in a different category (normotensive versus hypertensive), we considered the participant as hypertensive.

**Prevalences of the four main phenotypes characterizing different blood pressure behaviors**

According Key Assayama, et al. (IDACO study) [10], based on the cross-classification between conventional and 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure 60.6% were normotensive: 10.7% had white coat hypertension; 9.7% had masked hypertension and, final 19% had sustained hypertension. Using different time intervals during which ambulatory blood pressure thresholds were applied substantially affected the estimates of prevalence. They ranged from 50.7% to 60.6% for normotension, from 6.3% to 12.5% for white-coat hypertension, from 9.7% to 19.6% for masked hypertension, and from 17.3% to 23.5% for sustained hypertension. The prevalence of normotension and white-coat hypertension was lowest and the prevalence of masked and sustained hypertension was highest based on thresholds set simultaneously to the 24-hour and the daytime and nighttime blood pressures).

Following, we will consider the prognosis and behaviors indicated for the two main phenotypes: White coat hypertension and masked hypertension.

**Normotension, sustained hypertension, white coat hypertension, and masked hypertension: Prognosis and conduct**

According to Hansen TW [11], the prognosis of the White Coat Hypertension and Masked Hypertension can be seen in the Figures 4,5.

The therapeutic approach of these various phenotypes is well studied. Particular emphasis should be placed on two of them: White Coat Hypertension and Masked Hypertension.

**White coat hypertension**

There is no evidence of the benefits of interventions in this group of patients [12,13]. These patients need follow-up, and changes in lifestyle are imperative for everyone. It is recommended that the diagnosis of white coat hypertension be confirmed in 3 to 6 months, and the patient be followed annually with ABPM to detect progression to maintained hypertension, as these patients are more likely to become established hypertensive [14].

To our knowledge, there is currently no evidence from randomized clinical trials testing whether lowering versus not lowering office BP in white-coat hypertensive might result in benefit [14]. Lifestyle changes are consensual in these patients with white coat hypertension.
Masked hypertension

In view of the high-risk profile associated with masked hypertension, the 2017 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association [3] and the 2018 European [15] hypertension guidelines consistently recommend that masked hypertensive patients should implement lifestyle interventions and be treated with antihypertensive drugs. However, in the absence of supporting evidence from randomized clinical trials, this recommendation rests largely on expert opinion [13].

Conclusions and perspectives

With the advent of the 24-hour ABPM, a blood pressure record was able to more accurately reflect the behavior of blood pressure for the purpose of diagnosis, prognosis and evaluation of the antihypertensive therapy instituted.

In addition, there was the possibility of defining new blood pressure phenotypes such as: white coat hypertension and masked hypertension.

White coat hypertension and masked hypertension have not been identified as benign conditions and the data currently available recommend specific care for the patient populations that fall into these two groups, as much as the diagnosis, as well as the assessment of prognosis and treatment.

Several and recent publications [16-19] have confirmed the importance of measures taken during a day of usual activities of patients, by ABPM. Thus, this method has been gradually gaining a prominent place in medical practice.

In the near future, with the expansion of that used by MAPA, the existing knowledge will be consolidated and new studies will complete the gaps that exist today.

Anyway, we can assure that ABPM, in relation to blood pressure measurement, brought more light and less shadows.
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